

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspico.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,474	01/30/2001	Chan Ming Yam Terence Conan	CA919990016-US1	1657
7:	590 04/01/2003			
Anne Vachon Dougherty			EXAMINÉR	
3173 Cedar Road			LAU, TUNG S	
Yorktown Heig	thts, NY 10598			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	-
		DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•				<i>,</i> ∼			
i, i		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/772,474	CONAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tung S Lau	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23.	January 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 5-13 and 15-24</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are objected to.						
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.					
: -	•	ır					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5-13 and 15-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brouwer et al. (U.S. Patent 6,279,124).

Brouwer discloses a system for automated testing of software, a computer program and method for use in a computer the system including a system server component including a test bucket for storing sets of test data, a job receiver process (col. 1, lines 58-67, col. 3, lines 53-65), for accepting test requests from a user, each test request comprising an identifier for selecting test data from the test bucket (col. 1, lines 58-67), a resource process and resource pool for managing system resource data to indicate resources available for software testing on a set of client computer systems col. 4, lines 42-61), a job execution process for creating test execution script data based on the test data identified in a test request col. 2, lines 1-13), wherein the job execution process receives the test request from the job receiver process (col. 11, lines 34-41, col. 11-12, lines

65-20), dynamically creates the test execution script based upon the resource pool indicating the availability of resources required for the execution of the test on one or more of the set of client computer systems (col. 4-5, lines 42-27), and initiates testing by forwarding the test execution script data to the appropriate one or more of the set of client computer systems (col. 3, lines 24-35), and the system server component further comprising a means for accepting and storing test results from the set of client computer systems (col. 2-3, lines 66-15), a listen process for accepting execution script and to generate report (col.2-3, lines 66-15, col. 8, lines 25-31), queue the process (col. 11, lines 35-42), have a database for storage of the test results (col. 8, lines 32-39), using TCP/IP socket for communication (col.3, lines 23-35), generate a report on the completion of testing (col. 2-3, lines 66-15), configuration file for executing testing (col. 5, lines 1-27), a refresh command in subsystem (col. 18, lines 29-43), A DOS based client running a non-DOS operating system, use on a web servlet using a graphical user interface (col. 2-3, lines 66-35), parse component for ASCII format (col. 30-31, lines 57-8)

Claim Objections

Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitation of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: prior art fail to teach the use of modified autoexec.bat and boot.ini file for rebooting the system into the non-DOS operating system for software testing.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 5-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. However, applicant's arguments filed 1/23/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory

action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 703-305-3309. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 703-308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5841 for regular communications and 703-308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC2800 RightFAX Telephone Numbers : TC2800 Official Before-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9318, TC2800 Official After-Final RightFAX - (703) 872-9319

TC2800 Customer Service RightFAX - (703) 872-9317

TL

March 27, 2003

John Barlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800